

make claims. While the recovery for any Class Member is dependent on numerous factors, including the timing and price of a Class Member's transactions in Intercept common stock, if all eligible Class Members make claims, it is estimated that the average distribution per eligible share of Intercept common stock will be approximately \$48.27 before deduction of Court-approved fees and expenses. Historically, actual claims are less than 100%, resulting in higher per share distributions on average.

II. STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL OUTCOME

In addition to disagreeing on whether or not Defendants made any false or misleading statements, Plaintiffs and Defendants do not agree on the average amount of damages per share, if any, that would have been recoverable if Plaintiffs were to have prevailed on each claim alleged. At trial, Plaintiffs would have presented expert testimony that disclosures on January 10, 2014 and May 16, 2014 regarding OCA and the FLINT trial, correcting the alleged false and misleading statements, caused Intercept stock to drop on January 13-14, 2014 and May 19-20, 2014. In sum, if Plaintiffs won and the jury accepted all of their expert's testimony, Class Members could have recovered between \$0 and \$194.87 for every share that they purchased during the Class Period and held until at least January 11, 2014. At trial, Defendants would have taken the position, also supported by their expert testimony, that none of the drops in Intercept's stock price could be attributed to any corrective disclosure related to the alleged fraud, and therefore Class Members had suffered no legal damages at all. Defendants would have pointed to prior disclosures on and around January 10, 2014 and May 16, 2014 that caused the share price to decline – none of which would give rise to a claim for damages. In short, the parties disagree on the merits of this case, including whether or not damages were suffered and are recoverable. Defendants deny that they are liable in any respect or that Plaintiffs or the Class suffered any injury. Accordingly, recovery of any amount at trial was far from certain.

III. REASONS FOR SETTLEMENT

Plaintiffs believe that the proposed settlement is a good recovery and is in the best interests of the Class. Because of the risks associated with continuing to litigate and proceeding to trial, there was a danger that the Class would not have prevailed or, if they had, how much, if any, damages could be recoverable. The proposed settlement provides a certain benefit to Class Members, and will avoid the years of delay that would likely occur in the event of a contested trial and appeals.

IV. STATEMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES SOUGHT

Plaintiffs' counsel have not received any payment for their services in conducting this Litigation on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the Members of the Class, nor have they been paid for their litigation expenses. If the settlement is approved by the Court, Plaintiffs' counsel will apply to the Court for attorneys' fees and expenses. Plaintiffs' counsel advised the Court that their application for attorneys' fees will not exceed 28.8% of the Settlement Amount and their application for expenses will not exceed \$450,000, plus interest thereon, to be paid from the Settlement Fund. If the amounts requested are approved by the Court, the average cost per share of Intercept common stock, based on a 100% claim rate, will be \$14.19. In addition, the Plaintiffs may seek up to \$18,000, cumulatively, in expenses incurred in representing the Class.

V. IDENTIFICATION OF ATTORNEYS' REPRESENTATIVES

For further information regarding this settlement, you may contact a representative of Lead Counsel: Rick Nelson, Shareholder Relations, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101, Telephone: 800/449-4900. Additional information, including copies of pleadings and documents filed in the case, is also available on the settlement website at www.interceptsecuritieslitigation.com.

VI. NOTICE OF HEARING ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

A hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") will be held on September 8, 2016, at 11:00 a.m., before the Honorable Naomi Reice Buchwald, United States District Judge, at the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, Courtroom 21A, New York, NY 10007-1312. The purpose of the Settlement Hearing will be to determine: (1) whether the proposed settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation, consisting of Fifty-Five Million Dollars (\$55,000,000.00) in cash, should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Members of the Class; (2) whether the proposed plan to distribute the settlement proceeds (the "Plan of Allocation") is fair, reasonable, and adequate; (3) whether the application by Plaintiffs' counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses and the expenses of Plaintiffs should be approved, and, if so, in what amounts; and (4) whether the Judgment, in the form attached to the Stipulation, should be entered. The Court may adjourn the Settlement Hearing from time to time and without further notice to the Class.

VII. DEFINITIONS USED IN THIS NOTICE

As used in this Notice, the following terms have the meanings specified below. Any capitalized terms not specifically defined in this Notice shall have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation. In the event of any inconsistency between any definition set forth below or elsewhere in this Notice and any definition set forth in the Stipulation, the definition set forth in the Stipulation shall control.

1. "Authorized Claimant" means any Class Member whose claim for recovery has been allowed pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation.

2. "Class" means all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Intercept common stock between January 9, 2014 and January 10, 2014, inclusive (the "Class Period"), and were damaged thereby. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, present or former executive officers of Intercept, and their immediate families. Also excluded are those persons who validly exclude themselves from the Class.

3. "Defendants" means Intercept and the Individual Defendants, Dr. Mark Pruzanski and Dr. David Shapiro.

4. "Effective Date," or the date upon which this settlement becomes "effective," means three (3) business days after the date by which all of the events and conditions specified in paragraph 8.1 of the Stipulation have been met and have occurred.

5. "Final" means when the last of the following with respect to the Judgment approving the Stipulation, substantially in the form of Exhibit B attached thereto, shall occur: (i) the expiration of the time to file a motion to alter or amend the Judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) without any such motion having been filed; (ii) the time in which to appeal the Judgment has passed without any appeal having been taken; and (iii) if a motion to alter or amend is filed or if an appeal is taken, immediately after the determination of that motion or appeal so that it is no longer subject to any further judicial review or appeal whatsoever, whether by reason of affirmance by a court of last resort, lapse of time, voluntary dismissal of the appeal or otherwise in such a manner as to permit the consummation of the settlement substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Stipulation. For purposes of this paragraph, an "appeal" shall include any petition for a writ of certiorari or other writ that may be filed in connection with approval or disapproval of this settlement, but shall not include any appeal which concerns only the issue of Plaintiffs' counsel's attorneys' fees and expenses, payments to Plaintiffs for their time and expenses, the Plan of Allocation of the Settlement Fund, or the procedures for determining Authorized Claimants' recognized claims.

6. "Judgment" means the Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice to be rendered by the Court, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation.

7. "Lead Counsel" means Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Tor Gronborg and Trig Smith, 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101.

8. "Net Settlement Fund" means the Settlement Fund less any attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and interest and any award to Plaintiffs provided for herein or approved by the Court and less Notice and Administration Expenses, Taxes and Tax Expenses, and other Court-approved deductions.

9. "Person" means an individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any business or legal entity and their spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives, or assignees.

10. "Plaintiffs" means George Burton and Scot H. Atwood.

11. "Plan of Allocation" means a plan or formula of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund whereby the Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants. Any Plan of Allocation is not part of the Stipulation and neither Defendants nor their Related Parties shall have any responsibility or liability with respect thereto.

12. "Related Parties" means each of a Defendant's respective present and former parents, subsidiaries, divisions and affiliates and the respective present and former employees, members, partners, principals, officers, directors, attorneys, advisors, accountants, auditors, and insurers of each of them; and the predecessors, successors, estates, heirs, executors, trusts, trustees, administrators, agents, representatives, and assigns of each of them, in their capacity as such.

13. "Settling Parties" means, collectively, Defendants, Plaintiffs, and the Class.

14. "Tax" or "Taxes" mean any and all taxes, fees, levies, duties, tariffs, imposts, and other charges of any kind (together with any and all interest, penalties, additions to tax and additional amounts imposed with respect thereto) imposed by any governmental authority.

15. "Unknown Claims" means any Released Claims which Plaintiffs or Class Members do not know or suspect to exist in his, her or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Persons which, if known by him, her or it, might have affected his, her or its settlement with and release of the Released Persons, or might have affected his, her or its decision not to object to this settlement or seek exclusion from the Class. With respect to any and all Released Claims, the Settling Parties stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs shall expressly waive and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived the provisions, rights, and benefits of California Civil Code §1542, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

The Plaintiffs shall expressly waive and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to California Civil Code §1542. Plaintiffs and Class Members may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but Plaintiffs shall expressly settle and release and each Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. Plaintiffs acknowledge, and the Class Members shall be deemed by operation of the Judgment to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for and a key element of the settlement of which this release is a part.

VIII. THE LITIGATION

This case arises from allegations that Defendants made false and misleading statements in violation of §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, regarding the drug OCA, the FLINT trial for OCA as a treatment for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis ("NASH"), and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases' ("NIDDK") observation of lipid abnormalities in that trial.

The Litigation was commenced on February 21, 2014. On May 16, 2014, the Court consolidated the pending actions and appointed George Burton as lead plaintiff in accordance with the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"). On June 27, 2014, Mr. Burton filed the operative Consolidated Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws.

Following full briefing and oral argument, the Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss on March 4, 2015. Thereafter, the parties commenced discovery which continued through, and was largely completed by, March 2016. During the pendency of the Litigation, the parties engaged in extensive discovery, including subpoenaing more than 70 parties and non-parties, producing and/or reviewing more than 1.5 million pages of documents, and deposing numerous fact and expert witnesses.

Concurrent with fact discovery, on July 15, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. Following class certification discovery, which included the deposition of Defendants' expert on market efficiency, and full briefing, the Court heard oral argument on class certification on January 20, 2016. At the time the parties reached an agreement-in-principle to resolve the Litigation, the motion for class certification was still pending.

In January 2016, the parties retained the services of John Van Winkle, a nationally-recognized mediator of complex cases and class actions. Following the exchange of detailed mediation statements and related information, the parties attended a mediation that continued over two days. At the conclusion of the mediation session on March 11, 2016, the parties reached an agreement-in-principle to resolve the Litigation, subject to the negotiation of mutually acceptable terms of a settlement agreement and approval by the Court.

IX. TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

A settlement has been reached in the Litigation between Plaintiffs and Defendants, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Stipulation and the Exhibits thereto. A portion of the settlement proceeds will be used to

pay attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel and Plaintiffs' expenses, to pay for this Notice and the processing of claims submitted by Class Members, and to pay Taxes and Tax Expenses. The balance of the Settlement Fund (the "Net Settlement Fund") will be distributed, in accordance with the Plan of Allocation described below, to Class Members who submit valid and timely Proofs of Claim.

The effectiveness of the settlement is subject to a number of conditions and reference to the Stipulation is made for further particulars regarding these conditions.

X. REQUESTING EXCLUSION FROM THE CLASS

If you do not wish to be included in the Class and you do not wish to participate in the proposed settlement described in this Notice you may request to be excluded.

If you wish to be excluded, you must mail a written request stating that you wish to be excluded from the Class to:

Intercept Securities Litigation
EXCLUSIONS
c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC
3301 Kerner Blvd.
San Rafael, CA 94901

The request for exclusion must: (1) include your name, address, and telephone number; (2) state that you "request exclusion from the Class"; (3) state the date(s), price(s), and amount(s) of Intercept common stock that you purchased, sold, or otherwise acquired or disposed of during the period January 9, 2014 to May 20, 2014; and (4) be signed by you or your representative. **YOUR EXCLUSION REQUEST MUST BE POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN AUGUST 11, 2016.** No request for exclusion will be considered valid unless all of the information described above is included in any such request. No further opportunity to request exclusion will be given in this Litigation. If you choose to be excluded from the Class, (a) you are not entitled to share in the proceeds of the settlement described herein; (b) you are not bound by any judgment entered in the Litigation; and (c) you are not precluded by the settlement from otherwise prosecuting an individual claim against Defendants, if timely, based on the matters complained of in the Litigation.

XI. THE RIGHTS OF CLASS MEMBERS WHO WISH TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT OR WHO TAKE NO ACTION

If you are a Class Member and have not elected to request exclusion, you have the following options:

1. You may submit a Proof of Claim as described below. If you choose this option, you will share in the proceeds of the proposed settlement if your claim is timely, valid, and entitled to a distribution under the Plan of Allocation described below and if the proposed settlement is finally approved by the Court; and you will be bound by the Judgment and release to be entered by the Court as described below.

2. You may do nothing at all. If you choose this option, you will not share in the proceeds of the settlement, but you will be bound by any judgment entered by the Court, and you will have fully released all of the Released Claims against the Released Persons.

3. You may object to the settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or the application for attorneys' fees and expenses in the manner described in Section XVII below.

4. If you are a Class Member, you may, but are not required to, enter an appearance through counsel of your own choosing and at your own expense, provided that such counsel must file an appearance on your behalf on or before August 11, 2016, and must serve copies of such appearance on the attorneys listed in Section XVII below. If you do not enter an appearance through counsel of your own choosing, you will be represented by Lead Counsel: Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Tor Gronborg and Trig Smith, 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101.

XII. PLAN OF ALLOCATION

The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to Class Members who are entitled to a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund and who submit a valid and timely Proof of Claim under the Plan of Allocation described below.

In the unlikely event there are sufficient funds in the Net Settlement Fund, each Authorized Claimant will receive an amount equal to the Authorized Claimant's claim, as defined below. If, however, and as is more likely, the amount in the Net Settlement Fund is not sufficient to permit payment of the total claim of each Authorized Claimant,

then each Authorized Claimant shall be paid the percentage of the Net Settlement Fund that each Authorized Claimant's claim bears to the total of the claims of all Authorized Claimants.

The allocation below for common stock is based on market adjusted price declines and statutory damages provisions. The calculation of claims below is not an estimate of the amount you will receive. It is a formula for allocating the Net Settlement Fund among all Authorized Claimants.

A claim will be calculated as follows:

For shares of Intercept common stock ***purchased or acquired on or between January 9, 2014 and January 10, 2014***, the claim per share shall be as follows:

- (a) If sold prior to January 11, 2014, the claim per share is zero.
- (b) If retained as of January 11, 2014 and sold on or before May 19, 2014, the claim per share shall be the ***lesser*** of: (i) \$194.87, or (ii) the difference between the purchase price and the selling price.
- (c) If retained, or sold, on or after May 20, 2014, the claim per share shall be the ***lesser*** of: (i) \$194.87, or (ii) the difference between the purchase price and \$223.34.

The date of purchase or sale is the "contract" or "trade" date as distinguished from the "settlement" date.

For Class Members who held Intercept common stock at the beginning of the Class Period or made multiple purchases, acquisitions, or sales during the Class Period, the First-In, First-Out ("FIFO") method will be applied to such holdings, purchases, acquisitions, and sales for purposes of calculating a claim. Under the FIFO method, sales of Intercept common stock during the Class Period will be matched, in chronological order, first against shares of common stock held at the beginning of the Class Period. The remaining sales of common stock during the Class Period will then be matched, in chronological order, against common stock purchased or acquired during the Class Period.

A Class Member will be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund only if a Class Member had a net overall loss, after all profits from transactions in all Intercept common stock described above during the Class Period are subtracted from all losses. However, the proceeds from sales of common stock that have been matched against the common stock held at the beginning of the Class Period will not be used in the calculation of such net loss.

Following the initial distribution of the Settlement Fund, no subsequent distributions will be made to Authorized Claimants who would otherwise receive a distribution of less than \$10.00.

The Court has reserved jurisdiction over an appeal by any Class Member of the Claims Administrator's determinations regarding a Class Member's claim or to allow, disallow, or adjust the claim of any Class Member on equitable grounds.

Payment pursuant to the Plan of Allocation set forth above shall be conclusive against all Authorized Claimants. Defendants, their respective counsel, and all other Released Persons will have no responsibility or liability whatsoever for the investment of the Settlement Fund, the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, the Plan of Allocation, or the payment of any claim. No Person shall have any claim against the Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' counsel, any claims administrator, or other Person designated by Plaintiffs' counsel, or Defendants or Defendants' counsel based on distributions made substantially in accordance with the Stipulation and the settlement contained therein, the Plan of Allocation, or further orders of the Court.

XIII. PARTICIPATION IN THE SETTLEMENT

TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND, YOU MUST TIMELY SUBMIT A PROOF OF CLAIM. A Proof of Claim is enclosed with this Notice or it may be downloaded at www.interceptsecuritieslitigation.com. Read the instructions carefully, fill out the Proof of Claim, include all the documents the form asks for, sign it, and mail or submit it online so that it is ***postmarked (if mailed) or received (if filed electronically) no later than October 5, 2016***. The claim form may be submitted online at www.interceptsecuritieslitigation.com. Unless the Court orders otherwise, if you do not timely submit a valid Proof of Claim, you will be barred from receiving any payments from the Net Settlement Fund, but will in all other respects be bound by the provisions of the Stipulation and the Judgment.

XIV. DISMISSAL AND RELEASES

If the proposed settlement is approved, the Court will enter a Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice (the "Judgment"). In addition, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members, for themselves and for any other Person claiming (now or in the future) through or on behalf of them, and regardless of

whether any such Plaintiff or Class Member ever seeks or obtains by any means, including, without limitation, by submitting a Proof of Claim, any distribution from the Settlement Fund, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Persons, and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, or prosecuting any such Released Claim against the Released Persons except to enforce the releases and other terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation or the Judgment entered pursuant thereto. "Released Claims" means any and all claims and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever whether known or unknown, whether arising under federal, state, common or foreign law, whether class or individual in nature, that Plaintiffs or any other Member of the Class asserted in the Consolidated Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws or could have asserted or could in the future assert in any court or forum based upon, relating to, or arising from the allegations, transactions, facts, matters or occurrences, errors, representations, actions, failures to act, or omissions that were alleged, set forth, or referred to in the Complaint and that relate in any way, directly or indirectly, to the purchase or other acquisition of Intercept common stock during the Class Period. "Released Claims" includes "Unknown Claims" as defined above. "Released Persons" means each and all of the Defendants and their Related Parties.

XV. APPLICATION FOR FEES AND EXPENSES

At the Settlement Hearing, Lead Counsel will request the Court to award attorneys' fees not to exceed 28.8% of the Settlement Amount, plus expenses not to exceed \$450,000, plus interest thereon. In addition, the Plaintiffs may seek up to \$18,000, cumulatively, in expenses (including lost income) they incurred in representing the Class. Such sums as may be approved by the Court will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Class Members are not personally liable for any such fees or expenses.

The fee requested by Lead Counsel will compensate counsel for its efforts in achieving the settlement for the benefit of the Class, and for its risk in undertaking this representation on a wholly contingent basis. Lead Counsel believes that the fee requested is well within the range of fees awarded to plaintiffs' counsel under similar circumstances in other litigation of this type. The fee to be requested has been approved by the Plaintiffs.

XVI. CONDITIONS FOR SETTLEMENT

The settlement is conditioned upon the occurrence of certain events described in the Stipulation. Those events include, among other things: (1) entry of the Judgment by the Court, as provided for in the Stipulation; and (2) expiration of the time to appeal from the Judgment or to move to alter or amend the Judgment, or the determination of any such appeal or motion in a manner to permit the consummation of the settlement substantially as provided for in the Stipulation. If, for any reason, any one of the conditions described in the Stipulation is not met, the Stipulation might be terminated and, if terminated, will become null and void, and the parties to the Stipulation will be restored to their respective positions as of March 11, 2016. In that event, the settlement will not proceed and no payments will be made to Class Members.

XVII. THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD AT THE HEARING

Any Class Member who objects to any aspect of the settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the application for attorneys' fees and expenses,¹ may appear and be heard at the Settlement Hearing. However, any such Person must submit a written notice of objection, such that it is **received** on or before **August 11, 2016**, by each of the following:

To the Court:

CLERK OF THE COURT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007-1312

To Lead Counsel:

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
TOR GRONBORG or TRIG SMITH
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101

To Counsel for Defendants:

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
JAMES W. PRENDERGAST
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

¹ Plaintiffs' pleadings in support of approval of this settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and the award of fees and expenses will be filed no later than July 27, 2016.

The notice of objection must demonstrate the objecting Person's membership in the Class, including the number of shares of Intercept common stock purchased or acquired during the Class Period and sold or held during the period from January 9, 2014 to May 20, 2014, and contain a statement of the reason(s) for objection. Only Members of the Class who have submitted written notices of objection in this manner will be entitled to be heard at the Settlement Hearing, unless the Court orders otherwise.

XVIII. SPECIAL NOTICE TO NOMINEES

Nominees who purchased or acquired the common stock of Intercept for the beneficial interest of other Persons during the Class Period shall, within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of this Notice: (1) provide the Claims Administrator with the names and addresses of such beneficial owners; or (2) forward a copy of this Notice and the Proof of Claim by First-Class Mail to each such beneficial owner and, provide Lead Counsel with written confirmation that the Notice and Proof of Claim have been so forwarded. Upon submission of appropriate documentation, Lead Counsel will reimburse your reasonable costs and expenses of complying with this provision. Additional copies of this Notice may be obtained from the Claims Administrator by writing to:

Intercept Securities Litigation
Claims Administrator
c/o GILARDI & CO. LLC
P.O. Box 30217
College Station, TX 77842-3217

XIX. EXAMINATION OF PAPERS

This Notice contains only a summary of the terms of the proposed settlement and does not describe all of the details of the Stipulation. For a more detailed statement of the matters involved in the Litigation, reference is made to the pleadings, to the Stipulation, and to other papers filed in the Litigation, which may be inspected at the office of the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007-1312. In addition, certain case and settlement related documents, including the Stipulation of Settlement, may be viewed at www.interceptsecuritieslitigation.com.

If you have any questions about the settlement of the Litigation, you may contact Lead Counsel at the address listed below or by e-mail at interceptclaims@rgrdlaw.com.

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP
TOR GRONBORG or TRIG SMITH
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101

DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

DATED: MAY 23, 2016

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK